UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,547	08/09/2006	Stuart Speakman	30090-506-059	2745
	35437 7590 03/08/2010 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO		EXAMINER	
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			MC GINTY, DOUGLAS J	
BOSTON, MA	02111		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	10/560,547	SPEAKMAN, S	TUART			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DOUGLAS MC GINTY	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the composed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fi Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ui	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		se the period for see	eking court review			
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:						
TC on 3-2-10 to confirm that reply had not been s	ent.					
	/DOUGLAS MC GINTY/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni	t 1796				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic	e of Abandonment	Part of Pa	per No. 20100302			